





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/955,898 | 09/19/2001 | Dillis V. Allen | 9911 | | |
| 7: | 590 03/27/2003 | | | | |
| DILLIS V. AI | LLEN | EXAMINER | | | |
| Suite 205 1080 Nerge Road | | | SEMUNEGUS, LULIT | | |
| Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3641 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/955,898 | | ALLEN, DILLIS V. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lulit Semunegus | | 3641 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>December 12, 2002</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| <u>-</u> | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| • | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice | ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Noti | ce of Informal i | / (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P⊺ | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/955,898

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Furthermore, applicant's argument on page 11, paragraph 2, has been addressed by the new rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Washington Post, dated Sept. 13, 2001 in view of Bruensicke (4,552,325) in view of Birch (GB 2,183,582).

In regards to claim 1, The Washington Post teaches that Israeli national airline, El Al has been using the tactic of sealing off a cockpit door before the September 11, 2001 tragedy (page 2, paragraph 5 and 6), where the seal system is impervious to any material (page 2, 6th paragraph).

Birch teaches a non-lethal weapon system for an aircraft (page 2, lines 21-23).

As to Claim 2, Bruensicke teaches a first air conditioning system for the cockpit and a second air conditioning system for the cabin (col. 3, lines 56-66).

Application/Control Number: 09/955.898

Art Unit: 3641

As to claims 3 and 5, Bruensicke teaches an exhaust system for cabin material where the exhaust system can be used for any kind of emergency for removing unwanted cabin material (abstract).

As to claims 4 and 6, Bruensicke teaches an interior master control, IMC, for activating a supply system and for terminating the supply and activating the exhaust system (col. 2, lines 37-46).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Bruensick, Birch and Israeli's EI al tactic to protect the pilots from being affected by NLW and also it would have been obvious to add an exhaust system as taught by Bruensicke to any aircraft to clean up any material (smoke-non-lethal or lethal) from the cabin.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the e xaminer should be directed to Lulit Semunegus whose telephone number is (703) 306-5960. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,898

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

.ls

March 19, 2003

Lulit Semunegus Examiner Art Unit 3641

SUPERVISORY PARAMER